

## AL-ALBANI'S WEAKENING OF SOME OF IMAM BUKHARI AND MUSLIM'S AHADITH.

Al-Albani has said in "*Sharh al-Aqeedah at-Tahaweeah*, pg. 27-28" (8th edition, Maktab al-Islami) by Shaykh Ibn Abi al-Izz al-Hanafi (Rahimahullah), that any Hadith coming from the Sahih collections of al-Bukhari and Muslim is Sahih, not because they were narrated by Bukhari and Muslim, but because the Ahadith are in fact correct. But he clearly contradicts himself, since he has weakened Ahadith from Bukhari and Muslim himself! Now let us consider this information in the light of elaboration :-

---

### SELECTED TRANSLATIONS FROM VOLUME 1

#### No 1: (\*Pg. 10 no. 1 )

Hadith: The Prophet (Peace and blessings be upon him) said: "Allah says I will be an opponent to 3 persons on the day of resurrection: (a) One who makes a covenant in my Name but he proves treacherous, (b) One who sells a free person (as a slave) and eats the price (c) And one who employs a laborer and gets the full work done by him, but doesn't pay him his wages." [*Bukhari* no 2114-Arabic version, or see the English version 3/430 pg 236].

Al-Albani said that this Hadith was *DAEEF* in "*Daeef al-Jami wa Ziyadatuh*, 4/111 no. 4054". Little does he know that this Hadith has been narrated by Ahmad and Bukhari from Abu Hurayra (Allah be pleased with him)!!

#### No 2: (\*Pg. 10 no. 2 )

Hadith: "Sacrifice only a grown up cow unless it is difficult for you, in which case sacrifice a ram." [*Muslim* no. 1963-Arabic edition, or see the English version 3/4836 pg. 1086].

Al-Albani said that this Hadith was *DAEEF* in "*Daeef al-Jami wa Ziyadatuh*, 6/64 no. 6222." Although this Hadith has been narrated by Imam's Ahmad, Muslim, Abu Dawood, Nisai and Ibn Majah from Jaabir (Allah be pleased with him)!!

#### No 3: (\*Pg. 10 no. 3 )

Hadith: "Amongst the worst people in Allah's sight on the Day of Judgement will be the man who makes love to his wife and she to him, and he divulges her secret." [*Muslim* no. 1437-Arabic edition].

Al-Albani claims that this Hadith is *DAEEF* in "*Daeef al-Jami wa Ziyadatuh*, 2/197 no. 2005." Although it has been narrated by Muslim from Abi Sayyed (Allah be pleased with him)!!

#### No 4: (\*Pg. 10 no. 4 )

Hadith: "If someone woke up at night (for prayers) let him begin his prayers with 2 light rak'ats." [*Muslim* no. 768]. Al-Albani stated that this Hadith was *DAEEF* in "*Daeef al-Jami wa Ziyadatuh*, 1/213 no. 718." Although it is narrated by Muslim and Ahmad from

Abu Hurayra (may Allah be pleased with him)!!

**No 5: (\*Pg. 11 no. 5 )**

Hadith: "You will rise with shining foreheads and shining hands and feet on the Day of Judgement by completing Wudhu properly. . . . . ." [*Muslim* no. 246].

Al-Albani claims it is *DAEEF* in "*Daeef al-Jami wa Ziyadatuh*, 2/14 no. 1425." Although it has been narrated by Muslim from Abu Hurayra (Allah be pleased with him)!!

**No 6: (\*Pg. 11 no. 6 )**

Hadith: "The greatest trust in the sight of Allah on the Day of Judgement is the man who doesn't divulge the secrets between him and his wife." [*Muslim* no's 124 and 1437]

Al-Albani claims it is *DAEEF* in "*Daeef al-Jami wa Ziyadatuh*, 2/192 no. 1986." Although it has been narrated by Muslim, Ahmad and Abu Dawood from Abi Sayyed (Allah be pleased with him)!!

**No 7: (\*Pg. 11 no. 7 )**

Hadith: "If anyone READS the last ten verses of *Surah al-Kahf* he will be saved from the mischief of the Dajjal." [*Muslim* no. 809].

Al-Albani said that this Hadith was *DAEEF* in "*Daeef al-Jami wa Ziyadatuh*, 5/233 no. 5772." NB- The word used by Muslim is MEMORIZED and not READ as al-Albani claimed; what an awful mistake! This Hadith has been narrated by Muslim, Ahmad and Nisai from Abi Darda (Allah be pleased with him)!! (Also recorded by Imam Nawawi in "*Riyadh us-Saliheen*, 2/1021" of the English ed'n).

**No 8: (\*Pg. 11 no. 8 )**

Hadith: "The Prophet (Peace and blessings be upon him) had a horse called al-Laheef." [*Bukhari*, see *Fath al-Bari* of Hafiz Ibn Hajar 6/58 no. 2855]. But Al-Albani said that this Hadith was *DAEEF* in "*Daeef al-Jami wa Ziyadatuh*, 4/208 no. 4489." Although it has been narrated by Bukhari from Sahl ibn Sa'ad (Allah be pleased with him)!!!

Shaykh Saqqaf said: "This is only anger from anguish, little from a lot and if it wasn't for the fear of lengthening and boring the reader, I would have mentioned many other examples from al-Albani's books whilst reading them. Imagine what I would have found if I had traced everything he wrote?"

**AL-ALBANI'S INADEQUACY IN RESEARCH (\* Vol. 1 pg. 20)**

Shaykh Saqqaf said: "The strange and amazing thing is that Shaykh al-Albani misquoted many great Hadith scholars and disregards them by his lack of knowledge, either directly or indirectly! He crowns himself as an unbeatable source and even tries to imitate the great scholars by using such terms like "*Lam aqif ala sanadih*", which means "I could not find the chain of narration", or using similar phrases! He also accuses some of the best memorizers of Hadith for lack of attention, even though he is the one best described by that!" Now for some examples to prove our point:

**No 9 : (\* Pg. 20 no. 1 )**

Al-Albani said in "*Irwa al-Ghalil*, 6/251 no. 1847" (in connection to a narration from Ali): "I could not find the *sanad*."

Shaykh Saqqaf said: "Ridiculous! If this al-Albani was any scholar of Islam, then he would have known that this Hadith can be found in "*Sunan al-Bayhaqi*, 7/121" :- Narrated by Abu Sayyed ibn Abi Amarah, who said that Abu al-Abbas Muhammad ibn Yaqoob who said to us that Ahmad ibn Abdal Hamid said that Abu Usama from Sufyan from Salma ibn Kahil from Mu'awiya ibn Soayd who said, 'I found this in my fathers book from Ali (Allah be pleased with him).'"

**No 10 : (\* Pg. 21 no. 2 )**

Al-Albani said in '*Irwa al-Ghalil*, 3/283': Hadith of Ibn Umar 'Kisses are usury,' I could not find the *sanad*."

Shaykh Saqqaf said: "This is outrageously wrong for surely this is mentioned in '*Fatawa al-Shaykh ibn Taymiyya al-Misriyah* (3/295)': 'Harb said Obaidullah ibn Mu'az said to us, my father said to me that Soayd from Jiballa who heard Ibn Umar (Allah be pleased with him) as saying: Kisses are usury.' And these narrators are all authentic according to Ibn Taymiyya!"

**No 11 : (\* Pg. 21 no. 3 )**

Hadith of Ibn Masood (Allah be pleased with him): "The Qur'an was sent down in 7 dialects. Everyone of its verses has an explicit and implicit meaning and every interdiction is clearly defined." Al-Albani stated in his checking of "*Mishkat ul-Masabih*, 1/80 no. 238" that the author of *Mishkat* concluded many Ahadith with the words "Narrated in *Sharh us-Sunnah*," but when he examined the chapter on *Ilm* and in *Fadail al-Qur'an* he could not find it!

Shaykh Saqqaf said: "The great scholar has spoken! Wrongly as usual. I wish to say to this fraud that if he is seriously interested in finding this Hadith we suggest he looks in the chapter entitled '*Al-Khusama fi al-Qur'an*' from *Sharh-us-Sunnah* (1/262), and narrated by Ibn Hibban in his *Sahih* (no. 74), Abu Ya'ala in his *Musnad* (no.5403), Tahawi in *Sharh al-Mushkil al-Athar* (4/172), Bazzar (3/90 *Kashf al-Asrar*) and Haythami has mentioned it in *Majmoo'a al-Zawaid* (7/152) and he has ascribed it to Bazzar, Abu Ya'ala and Tabarani in *al-Awsat* who said that the narrators are trustworthy."

**No 12 : (\* Pg. 22 no. 4 )**

Al-Albani stated in his "*Sahihah*, 1/230" while he was commenting on Hadith no. 149: "The believer is the one who does not fill his stomach. . . . The Hadith from Aisha as mentioned by Al-Mundhiri (3/237) and by Al-Hakim from Ibn Abbas, I (Albani) could not find it in *Mustadrak al-Hakim* after checking it in his '*Thoughts*' section."

Shaykh Saqqaf said: "Please don't encourage the public to fall into the void of ignorance which you have tumbled into! If you check *Mustadrak al-Hakim* (2/12) you will find it! This proves that you are unskilled at using book indexes and the memorization of Hadith!"

**No 13 : (\* Pg. 23 )**

Another ridiculous assumption is made by al-Albani in his "*Sahihah*, 2/476" where he claims that the Hadith: "Abu Bakr is from me, holding the position of (my) hearing" is not in the book '*Hilya*'.

We suggest you look in the book "*Hilya* , 4/73!"

**No 14 : (\*Pg. 23 no. 5 )**

Al-Albani said in his "*Sahihah*, 1/638 no. 365, 4th edition": "Yahya ibn Malik has been ignored by the 6 main scholars of Hadith, for he was not mentioned in the books of Tahdhib, Taqreeb or Tadhhib."

Shaykh Saqqaf: "That is what you say! It is not like that, for surely he is mentioned in *Tahdhib al-Tahdhib* of Hafiz ibn Hajar al-Asqalani (12/19 Dar al-Fikr edition) by the nickname Abu Ayoob al-Maraagi!!

So beware!

**FURTHER EXAMPLES OF AL-ALBANI'S CONTRADICTIONS****No 15 : (\* Pg. 7 )**

Al-Albani has criticized the Imam al-Muhaddith Abu'l Fadl Abdullah ibn al-Siddiq al-Ghimari (Rahimahullah) for mentioning in his book "*al-Kanz al-Thameen*" a Hadith from Abu Hurayra (Allah be pleased with him) with reference to the narrator Abu Maymoona: "Spread salaam, feed the poor. . . ."

Al-Albani said in "*Silsilah al-Daeefa*, 3/492", after referring this Hadith to Imam Ahmad (2/295) and others: "I say this is a weak *sanad*, Daraqutni has said 'Qatada from Abu Maymoona from Abu Hurayra: Unknown, and it is to be discarded.'" Al-Albani then said on the same page: "Notice, a slapdash has happened with Suyuti and Munawi when they came across this Hadith, and I have also shown in a previous reference, no. 571, that al-Ghimari was also wrong for mentioning it in *al-Kanz*."

But in reality it is al-Albani who has become slapdashed, because he has made a big contradiction by using this same *sanad* in "*Irwa al-Ghalil*, 3/238" where he says, "Classified by Ahmad (2/295), al-Hakim . . . from Qatada from Abu Maymoona, and he is trusted as in the book '*al-Taqreeb*', and Hakim said: 'A Sahih *sanad*', and al-Dhahabi agreed with Hakim!

So, by Allah glance at this mistake! Who do you think is wrong, the Muhaddith al-Ghimari (also Suyuti and Munawi) or al-Albani?

**No 16 : (\* Pg. 27 no. 3 )**

Al-Albani wanted to weaken a Hadith which allowed women to wear golden jewellery, and in the *sanad* for that Hadith there is Muhammad ibn Imara. Al-Albani claimed that Abu Haatim said that this narrator was: "Not that strong," see the book "*Hayat al-Albani wa-*

*Atharu*. . . part 1, pg. 207."

The truth is that Abu Haatim al-Razi said in the book '*al-Jarh wa-Taadeel*, 8/45': "A good narrator but not that strong. . ." So note that al-Albani has **removed** the phrase "A good narrator !"

NB-(al-Albani has made many of the Hadith which forbid Gold to women to be *Sahih*, in fact other scholars have declared these Hadith to be *daeef* and abrogated by other *Sahih* Hadith which allow the wearing of gold by women. One of the well known Shaykh's of the "Salafiyya" - Yusuf al-Qardawi said in his book: 'Islamic awakening between rejection and extremism, pg. 85: "In our own times, Shaykh Nasir al-Din al-Albani has come out with an opinion, different from the consensus on permitting women to adorn themselves with gold, which has been accepted by all *madhahib* for the last fourteen centuries. He not only believes that the *isnad* of these Ahadith is authentic, but that they have not been revoked. So, he believes, the Ahadith prohibit gold rings and earrings."

So who is the one who violates the *ijma* of the Ummah with his extreme opinions?!

**No 17 : (\* Pg. 37 no. 1 )**

Hadith: Mahmood ibn Lubayd said, "Allah's Messenger (Peace and blessings be upon him) was informed about a man who had divorced his wife 3 times (in one sitting), so he stood up angrily and said: 'Is he playing with Allah's book whilst I am still amongst you?' Which made a man stand up and say, 'O Allah's Messenger, shall I not kill him?'" (*al-Nisai*).

Al-Albani declared this Hadith to be Daeef in his checking of "*Mishkat al-Masabih*, 2/981, 3rd edition, Beirut, 1405 A.H; Maktab al-Islami", where he says: "This man (the narrator) is reliable, but the *isnad* is broken or incomplete for he did not hear it directly from his father."

Al-Albani then contradicts himself in the book "*Ghayatul Maram Takhreej Ahadith al-Halal wal Haram*, no. 261, pg. 164, 3rd Edn, Maktab al-Islami, 1405 A.H"; by saying it is **SAHIH!!!**

**No 18 : (\* Pg. 37 no. 2)**

Hadith: "If one of you was sleeping under the sun, and the shadow covering him shrank, and part of him was in the shadow and the other part of him was in the sun, he should rise up." Al-Albani declared this Hadith to be **SAHIH** in "*Sahih al-Jami al-Sagheer wa Ziyadatuh* (1/266/761)", but then contradicts himself by saying it is **DAEEF** in his checking of "*Mishkat ul-Masabih*, 3/1337 no. 4725, 3rd Ed" and he has referred it to the *Sunan of Abu Dawood!*"

**No 19 : (\* Pg. 38 no. 3 )**

Hadith: "The Friday prayer is obligatory on every Muslim." Al-Albani rated this Hadith to be **DAEEF** in his checking of "*Mishkat al-Masabih*, 1/434", and said: "Its narrators are reliable but it is discontinuous as is indicated by Abu Dawood". He then contradicts himself in "*Irwa al-Ghalil*, 3/54 no. 592", and says it is **SAHIH!!!**

So beware o wise men!

**No 20 : (\* Pg. 38 no. 4 )**

Al-Albani has made another contradiction. He has trusted Al-Muharrar ibn Abu Hurayra in one place and then weakened him in another. Al-Albani certifies in "*Irwa al-Ghalil*, 4/301" that Muharrar is a trustee with Allah's help, and Hafiz (Ibn Hajar) saying about him "accepted", is not accepted, and therefore the *sanad* is *Sahih*.

He then contradicts himself in "*Sahihah* 4/156" where he makes the *sanad* *DAEEF* by saying: "The narrators in the *sanad* are all Bukhari's (i.e.; used by Imam al-Bukhari) men, except for al-Muharrar who is one of the men of Nisai and Ibn Majah only. He was not trusted accept by Ibn Hibban, and that's why al-Hafiz Ibn Hajar did not trust him, Instead he only said 'accepted!'"

So beware of this fraud!

**No 21 : (\* Pg. 39 no. 5 )**

Hadith: Abdallah ibn Amr (Allah be pleased with him): "The Friday prayer is incumbent on whoever heard the call" (*Abu Dawood*). Al-Albani stated that this Hadith was *HASAN* in "*Irwa al-Ghalil* 3/58", he then contradicts himself by saying it is *DAEEF* in "*Mishkatul Masabih* 1/434 no 1375"!!!

**No 22 : (\* Pg. 39 no. 6 )**

Hadith: Anas ibn Malik (Allah be pleased with him) said that the Prophet (Peace and blessings be upon him) used to say : "Do not be hard on yourself, otherwise Allah will be hard on you. When a people were hard on themselves, then Allah was hard on them." (*Abu Dawood*)

Al-Albani stated that this Hadith was *DAEEF* in his checking of "*Mishkat*, 1/64", but he then contradicts himself by saying that this Hadith is *HASAN* in "*Ghayatul Maram*, pg. 141"!!!

**No 23: (\* Pg. 40 no. 7 )**

Hadith of Sayyida Aisha (Allah be pleased with her): "Whoever tells you that the Prophet (Peace be upon him) used to urinate while standing, do not believe him. He never urinated unless he was sitting." (*Ahmad, Nisai and Tirmidhi* )

Al-Albani said that this *sanad* was *DAEEF* in "*Mishkat* 1/117." He then contradicts himself by saying it is *SAHIH* in "*Silsilat al-Ahadith al-Sahihah* 1/345 no. 201"!!!

So take a glance dear reader!

**No 24 : (\* Pg. 40 no. 8 )**

Hadith "There are three which the angels will never approach: The corpse of a disbeliever, a man who wears ladies perfume, and one who has had sex until he performs ablution" (*Abu Dawood*).

Al-Albani corrected this Hadith in "*Sahih al-Jami al-Sagheer wa Ziyadatuh*, 3/71 no. 3056" by saying it was *HASAN* in the checking of "*Al-Tarhib* 1/91" [Also said to be *hasan* in the English translation of "*The Etiquettes of Marriage and Wedding*, pg. 11]. He then makes an obvious contradiction by saying that the same Hadith was *DAEEF* in his checking of "*Mishkatul-Masabih*, 1/144 no. 464" and says that the narrators are trustworthy but the chain is broken between al-*hasan* al-Basri and Ammar (Allah be pleased with him) as al-Mundhiri had said in al-Tarhib (1/91)!!

**No 25 : (\* Pg. 42 no. 10 )**

It reached Malik (Rahimahullah) that Ibn Abbas (Allah be pleased with him) used to shorten his prayer, in distances such as between Makkah and Ta'if or between Makkah and Usfan or between Makkah and Jeddah. . . .

Al-Albani has weakened it in "*Mishkat*, 1/426 no. 1351", and then contradicts himself by saying it is *SAHIH* in "*Irwa al-Ghalil*, 3/14"!!

**No 26 : (\* Pg. 43 no. 12 )**

Hadith: "Leave the Ethiopians as long as they leave you, because no one takes out the treasure of the Ka'ba except the one with the two weak legs from Ethiopia." Al-Albani has weakened this Hadith in his checking of "*Mishkat* 3/1495 no. 5429" by saying: "The *sanad* is *DAEEF*." But then he contradicts himself as is his habit, by correcting it in "*Sahihah*, 2/415 no. 772."

**An example of al-Albani praising someone in one place and then disparaging him in another place in his books**

**No 27 : (\* Pg. 32 )**

He praises Shaykh Habib al-Rahman al-Azami in the book '*Sahih al Tarhib wa Tarhib*, page 63', where he says: "I want you to know one of the things that encouraged me to. . . which has been commented by the famous and respected scholar Shaykh Habib al-Rahman al-Azami" . . . . And he also said on the same page, "And what made me more anxious for it, is that its checker, the respected Shaykh Habib al-Rahman al-Azami has announced. . . ."

Al-Albani thus praises Shaykh al-Azami in the above mentioned book; but then makes a contradiction in the introduction to '*Adaab uz Zufaaf (The Etiquettes of Marriage and Wedding)*, new edition page 8', where he said: "Al-Ansari has used in the end of his letter, one of the enemies of the Sunnah, Hadith and Tawhid, who is famous for that, is Shaykh Habib al-Rahman al-Azami. . . . For his cowardliness and lack of scholarly deduction. . . ."

NB - (The above quotation from *Adaab uz Zufaaf* is not found in the English translation by his supporters, which shows that they deliberately avoided translating certain parts of the whole work).

So have a glance at this!

**SELECTED TRANSLATIONS FROM VOLUME 2****No 28 : (\* Pg. 143 no. 1 )**

Hadith of Abi Barza (Allah be pleased with him): "By Allah, you will not find a man more just than me" (*Sunan al-Nisai*, 7/120 no. 4103).

Al-Albani said that this Hadith was *SAHIH* in "*Sahih al-Jami wa Ziyadatuh*, 6/105 no. 6978", and then he astonishingly contradicts himself by saying it is *DAEEF* in "*Daef Sunan al-Nisai*, pg. 164 no. 287."

So beware of this mess!

**No 29 : (\* Pg. 144 no. 2 )**

Hadith of Harmala ibn Amru al-Aslami from his Uncle: "Throw pebbles at the *Jimar* by putting the extremity of the thumb on the fore-finger." (*Sahih Ibn Khuzaima*, 4/276-277 no. 2874)

Al-Albani acknowledged its weakness in "*Sahih Ibn Khuzaima*" by saying that the *sanad* was *DAEEF*, but then contradicts himself by saying it is *SAHIH* in "*Sahih al-Jami wa Ziyadatuh*, 1/312 no. 923!"

**No 30 : (\* Pg. 144 no. 3 )**

Hadith of Sayyidina Jabir ibn Abdullah (Allah be pleased with him): "The Prophet (Peace be upon him) was asked about the sexually defiled [*junubi*]. . . can he eat, or sleep. . . He said : 'Yes, when this person makes *wudhu*.'" (*Ibn Khuzaima* no. 217 and *Ibn Majah* no. 592).

Al-Albani has admitted its weakness in his comments on "*Ibn Khuzaima*, 1/108 no. 217", but then contradicts himself by correcting the above Hadith in "*Sahih Ibn Majah*, 1/96 no. 482 "!!

**No 31 : (\* Pg. 145 no. 4 )**

Hadith of Aisha (Allah be pleased with her): "A vessel as a vessel and food as food" (*Nisai*, 7/71 no. 3957).

Al-Albani said that it was *SAHIH* in "*Sahih al-Jami wa Ziyadatuh*, 2/13 no. 1462", but then contradicts himself in "*Daef Sunan al-Nisai*, no. 263 pg. 157", by saying it is *DAEEF!!!*

**No 32 : (\* Pg. 145 no. 5 )**

Hadith of Anas (Allah be pleased with him): "Let each one of you ask Allah for all his needs, even for his sandal thong if it gets cut."

Al-Albani said that the above Hadith was *HASAN* in his checking of "*Mishkat*, 2/696 no. 2251 and 2252", but then contradicts himself in "*Daef al-Jami wa Ziyadatuh*, 5/69 no.

4947 and 4948"!!!

**No 33 : (\* Pg. 146 no. 6 )**

Hadith of Abu Dharr (Allah be pleased with him): "If you want to fast, then fast in the white shining nights of the 13th, 14th and 15th."

Al-Albani declared it to be *DAEEF* in "*Daeef al-Nisai*, pg. 84" and in his comments on "*Ibn Khuzaima*, 3/302 no. 2127", but then contradicts himself by calling it *SAHIH* in "*Sahih al-Jami wa Ziyadatuh*, 2/10 no. 1448" and also corrected it in "*Sahih al-Nisai*, 3/902 no. 4021"!!

So what a big contradiction!

NB- (Al-Albani mentioned this Hadith in '*Sahih al-Nisai*' and in '*Daeef al-Nisai*', which proves that he is unaware of what he has and is classifying, how inept!)

**No 34 : (\* Pg. 147 no. 7 )**

Hadith of Sayyida Maymoonah (Allah be pleased with her): "There is nobody who has taken a loan and it is in the knowledge of Allah. . . ." (*Nisai*, 7/315 and others).

Al-Albani said in "*Daeef al-Nisai*, pg 190": "*Sahih*, except for the part *al-Dunya*." Then he contradicts himself in "*Sahih al-Jami wa Ziyadatuh*, 5/156", by saying that the whole Hadith is *SAHIH*, including the *al-Dunya* part. So what an amazing contradiction!

**No 35 : (\* Pg. 147 no. 8 )**

Hadith of Burayda (Allah be pleased with him): "Why do I see you wearing the jewellery of the people of hell" (Meaning the Iron ring), [*Nisai*, 8/172 and others. . .]. Al-Albani has said that it was *SAHIH* in "*Sahih al-Jami wa Ziyadatuh*, 5/153 no. 5540", but then contradicts himself by saying it is *DAEEF* in "*Daeef al-Nisai*, pg. 230"!!!

**No 36 : (\* Pg. 148 no. 9 )**

Hadith of Abu Hurayra (Allah be pleased with him): "Whoever buys a carpet to sit on, he has 3 days to keep it or return it with a cup of dates that are not brownish in colour" (*Nisai* 7/254 and others).

Al-Albani has weakened it with reference to the '3 days' part in "*Daeef Sunan al-Nisai*, pg. 186", by saying: "Correct, except for 3 days." But the 'genius' contradicts himself by correcting the Hadith and approving the '3 days' part in "*Sahih al-Jami wa Ziyadatuh*, 5/220 no. 5804".

So wake up (al-Albani)!!

**No 37 : (\* Pg. 148 no. 10 )**

Hadith of Abu Hurayra (Allah be pleased with him): "Whoever catches a single *rak'ah* of the Friday prayer has caught (the whole prayer)." (*Nisai* 3/112, *Ibn Majah* 1/356 and others). Al-Albani has weakened it in "*Daeef Sunan al-Nisai*, no. 78 pg. 49", where he

said: "Abnormal (*shadh*), where Friday is mentioned." He then contradicts himself by saying SAHIH, including the Friday part in "*Irwa*, 3/84 no. 622 ."

May Allah heal you!

## **AL-ALBANI AND HIS DEFAMATION AND AUTHENTICATION OF NARRATORS AT WILL!**

### **No 38 : (\* Pg 157 no 1 )**

KANAAN IBN ABDULLAH AN-NAHMY :- Al-Albani said in his "*Sahihah*, 3/481" : "Kanaan is considered *hasan*, for he is attested by Ibn Ma'een." Al-Albani then contradicts himself by saying, "There is weakness in Kanaan" (see "*Daeefah*, 4/282")!!

### **No 39 : (\* Pg. 158 no. 2 )**

MAJA'A IBN AL-ZUBAIR :- Al-Albani has weakened Maja'a in "*Irwa al-Ghalil*, 3/242", by saying, "This is a weak *sanad* because Ahmad has said: 'There is nothing wrong with Maja'a', and Daraqutni has weakened him. . ."

Al-Albani then made a contradiction in his "*Sahihah*, 1/613" by saying: "His men (the narrators) are trusted except for Maja'a who is a good narrator of Hadith."

An amazing contradiction!

### **No 40 : (\* Pg. 158 no. 3 )**

UTBA IBN HAMID AL-DHABI :- Al-Albani has weakened him in "*Irwa al-Ghalil*, 5/237" by saying: "And this is a weak (Daeef) *sanad* which has three defects. . . . the second defect is the weakness of al-Dhabi, the Hafiz said: 'A truthful narrator with hallucinations'".

Al-Albani then makes an obvious contradiction in "*Sahihah*, 2/432", where he said about a *sanad* which mentions Utba: "And this is a good (*hasan*) *sanad*, Utba ibn Hamid al-Dhabi is trustworthy but has hallucinations, and the rest of the narrators in the *sanad* are trusted." !!

### **No 41 : (\* Pg. 159 no. 4 )**

HISHAM IBN SA'AD :- Al-Albani said in his "*Sahihah*, 1/325": "Hisham ibn Sa'ad is a good narrator of Hadith." He then contradicts himself in "*Irwa al-Ghalil*, 1/283" by saying: "But this Hisham has a weakness in memorizing"

So what an amazement!!

### **No 42 : (\* Pg. 160 no. 5 )**

UMAR IBN ALI AL-MUQADDAMI :- Al-Albani has weakened him in "*Sahihah*, 1/371", where he said: "He in himself is trusted but he used to be a very bad forger, which makes him undependable. . . ." Al-Albani then contradicts himself again in "*Sahihah*, 2/259" by accepting him and describing him as being trustworthy from a *sanad* which

mentions Umar ibn Ali. Al-Albani says: "Classified by Hakim, who said: 'A *Sahih* Isnad (chain of transmission)', and al-Dhahabi went along with it, and it is as they have said."

So what an amazement !!!

**No 43 : (\* Pg. 160 no. 6 )**

ALI IBN SA'EED AL-RAZI :- Al-Albani has weakened him in "*Irwa*, 7/13", by saying: "They have said nothing good about al-Razi." He then contradicts himself in another 'fantastic' book of his, "*Sahihah*, 4/25", by saying: "This is a good (*hasan*) *sanad* and the narrators are all trustworthy."

So beware !!!

**No 44 : (\* Pg. 165 no. 13 )**

RISHDIN IBN SA'AD :- Al-Albani said in his "*Sahihah*, 3/79" : "In it (the *sanad*) is Rishdin ibn Sa'ad, and he has been declared trustworthy." But then he contradicts himself by declaring him to be *DAEEF* in "*Daefah*, 4/53"; where he said: "And Rishdin ibn Sa'ad is also *daeef*." So beware!!

**No 45 : (\* Pg. 161 no. 8 )**

ASHAATH IBN ISHAQ IBN SA'AD :- What an amazing fellow this Shaykh!! Al-Albani!! Proves to be. He said in "*Irwa al-Ghalil*, 2/228": "His status is unknown, and only Ibn Hibban trusted him." But then he contradicts himself by his usual habit! Because he only transfers from books and nothing else, and he copies without knowledge; this is proven in "*Sahihah*, 1/450", where he said about Ashaath: "Trustworthy". So what an amazement!!!

**No 46 : (\* Pg. 162 no. 9 )**

IBRAHIM IBN HAANI :- The honourable!! The genius!! The copier!! Has made Ibrahim ibn Haani trustworthy in one place and has then made him unknown in another. Al-Albani said in "*Sahihah*, 3/426": "Ibrahim ibn Haani is trustworthy", but then he contradicts himself in "*Daefah*, 2/225", by saying that he is unknown and his Ahadith are refused!!

**No 47 : (\* Pg. 163 no. 10 )**

AL-IJLAA IBN ABDULLAH AL-KUFI :- Al-Albani has corrected a *sanad* by saying it is good in "*Irwa*, 8/7", with the words: "And its *sanad* is good, the narrators are trustworthy, except for Ibn Abdullah al-Kufi who is truthful." He then contradicts himself by weakening the *sanad* of a Hadith where al-Ijlaa is found and has made him the reason for declaring it *DAEEF* (see '*Daefah*, 4/71'); where he said: "Ijlaa ibn Abdullah has a weakness." Al-Albani then quoted Ibn al-Jawzi's (Rahimahullah) words by saying: "Al-Ijlaa did not know what he was saying .!!!!"

**No 48 : (\* Pg. 67-69 )**

ABDULLAH IBN SALIH : KAATIB AL-LAYTH :- Al-Albani has criticised Al-Hafiz al-Haythami, Al-Hafiz al-Suyuti, Imam Munawi and the Muhaddith Abu'l-Fadl al-Ghimari (Allah's mercy be upon them) in his book "*Silsilah al-Daefah*, 4/302", when checking a

Hadith containing the narrator Abdullah ibn Salih. He says on page 300: "How could Ibn Salih be all right and his Hadith be good, even though he has got many mistakes and is of little awareness, which also made some fraudulent Hadiths enter his books, and he narrates them without knowing about them!" He has not mentioned that Abdullah ibn Salih is one of Imam al-Bukhari's men (i.e. used by al-Bukhari), because it does not suit his mode, and he does not state that Ibn Ma'een and some of the leading critics of Hadith have trusted him. Al-Albani has contradicted himself in other places in his books by making Hadiths containing Abdullah ibn Salih to be good, and here they are :-

Al-Albani said in "*Silsilah al-Sahihah*, 3/229" : "And so the *sanad* is good, because Rashid ibn Sa'ad is trustworthy by agreement, and who is less than him in the men of *Sahih*, and there is also Abdullah ibn Salih who has said things that are unharmed with Allah's help!" Al-Albani also said in "*Sahihah*, 2/406" about a *sanad* which contained Ibn Salih: "a good *sanad* in continuity." And again in "*Sahihah*, 4/647": "He's a proof with continuity."

NB- (Shaykh Saqqaf then continued with some important advice, this has been left untranslated for brevity but one may refer to the Arabic for further elaboration).

By the grace of Allah, this is enough from the books of Shaykh Saqqaf to convince any seeker of the truth, let alone the common folk who have little knowledge of the science of Hadith. If anyone is interested for hundreds of other similar quotes from Shaykh Saqqaf, then I suggest you write to the following address to obtain his book *Tanaqadat al-Albani al-Wadihat* (*The Clear Contradictions of al-Albani*).

THE IMAM AL-NAWAWI HOUSE  
PO BOX 925393  
AMMAN  
JORDAN

[The cost for volume 1 is \$4.00 US plus shipping and the cost for volume 2 is \$7.00 plus shipping].

Allah knows best.

## HERE ENDS THE QUOTATIONS FROM SHAYKH SAQQAF

This has been just 48 selected contradictions from the works of al-Albani, as derived by Shaykh Saqqaf. During the course of my own research into al-Albani's works which have been translated into English by his followers in England, I myself came across some startling errors. I was given some publications coming from his supporters in England [Jami'at Ihyaa Minhaaj al-Sunnah]; one by the title: "*Da'ef Ahadith of Abu Dawud's Sunan* (according to Shaikh al-Albaanee, No's according to the English Translation of Professor Ahmad Hasan, published in 1411/1991 C.E.)", and the other by the title: "*Da'ef Ahadith of an-Nawawi's Riyaad-us-Saaliheen* (according to the checking of Naasir ud-Deen Al-Albani, No's according to the English Translation of S. M. Madni Abbasi)".

I found some serious contradictions when I cross-referenced the above named publications; but I content myself by quoting just two of the contradictions, so that a round figure of fifty errors is achieved! Besides these errors there are others which will be displayed in the following pages, from the one who claims to be giving us the most 'authentic' Sunnah through his 'classifications of Ahadith!' The main aim in carrying out the latter exercise is for the benefit of those believers who do not and can not read the Arabic works of al-Albani

for one, and secondly to give the opportunity to any doubting "Thomas"; who may or may not be one of al-Albani's supporters at the time of reading this short exposition, to actually go along and check the references I have quoted from (mainly in English). By doing this, *Insha'Allah*, all doubts about the authenticity of this exposition will be alleviated and the hearts of those who doubt may become content! Allah knows best.

#### No 49 :-

Hadith: Aisha (Allah be pleased with her) reported the Apostle of Allah (Peace and blessings be upon him) as saying: "Allah and His Angels bless those who are on the right flanks of the rows (in prayer)." [See *Sunan Abu Dawood*, 1/676 pg. 175, English ed'n and *Riyadh-us-Saliheen*, 2/1094 pg. 548].

When I checked the authenticity of the above Hadith by using the list "*Daeef Ahadith of Abu Dawud's Sunan*", it was not counted amongst the *daeef* ones, which means to the user of this list that the above Hadith is *SAHIH* (or at least *HASAN*) according to the checking of al-Albani!

But, when I found the same Hadith in *Riyadh-us-Saliheen*, it was declared to be *DAEEF* by al-Albani. The actual words used by the author of "*Daeef Ahaadith of an-Nawawi's Riyadh-us-Saliheen*", was:- "Al-Albaanee brings a long note. . . . . The wording ('upon those on the right rows') is *Shaadh* or *Munkar* - the correct narration being : ('upon those who join the rows') - see *Mishkaat*, no. 1096, '*Daeef Abi Daud*', no.153. . .!!!

NB- al-Imam Nawawi (Allah's mercy be upon him) said that the above Hadith has been cited on the terms of Imam Muslim by Imam Abu Dawood (see the above reference in 'Riyadh').

#### No 50 :-

Hadith: Abu Umamah (Allah be pleased with him) says that the Holy Prophet (Peace be upon him) said: "A person who did not take part in *jihad* or failed to equip a fighter, or did not look well after the family of a fighter, would be severely punished by Allah before the day of judgement." (*Abu Dawood*, 2/2497, pg. 693 and *Riyadh-us-Saliheen*, 2/1348, pg. 643)

When I checked the authenticity of the above Hadith by using the list '*Daeef Ahadith of Abu Dawud's Sunan*', it was not listed as being *DAEEF*, hence it has been declared to be *SAHIH* (or at least *HASAN*) in al-Albani's checking of *Abu Dawood*! But when I found the above Hadith in *Riyadh-us-Saliheen*, al-Albani declared it to be *DAEEF*. The actual words used by the author of '*Da'eef Ahaadith of An-Nawawi's Riyaad-us-Saaliheen*' was: "Its *isnad* contains al-Waleed ibn Muslim-a-*mudallis* - and he has used '*an'annah* here ('from. . .'). See '*at-Ta'leeq-ur-Ragheeb*', 2/200."

NB- Imam an-Nawawi said that the above Hadith has been related with a *Sahih isnad*, besides that, according to Shaykh Shu'ayb al-Arnaoot's checking of the above Hadith in his edition of *Riyadh-us-Saliheen*, the above Hadith is not *daeef* (this information has been derived from another publication of 'Jami'at Ihyaa Minhaaj al-Sunnah, by the title "*List of daeef ahadiths in Riyaad-as-Saliheen according to Shuaib Arnaout*," but as for the lists authenticity, I say: it needs to be checked). I leave you to decide whose checking you will adopt.

Now that I have quoted you 50 mistakes of al-Albani in Hadith, I wish to delve into some rather important issues of *fiqh*, especially by comparing al-Albani's declarations with the views of other authors! For the record let me say at the outset, that most of the opinions that I will be quoting from al-Albani are sound and acceptable to one school of *fiqh* or another. But if the reader may sometimes get the feeling that I have inclined too much towards one particular school, then I have only done so to defend other sound and acceptable views which have been and are still being practised by large sections of the Ummah, indifference to the views of al-Albani and others. To all of us, more than one view should be acceptable if a Mujtahid has used his personal reasoning to extract a ruling from the sources of the *Shari'ah*; since this was the attitude of the glorious *Salaf as-Salihin* (pious predecessors of the first three generations of Islam), may Allah be pleased with them all. But as for al-Albani and the generality of his supporters they have adopted the tactless way of ejecting/criticising all other ways 'unacceptable' to their deductions from the Qur'an and Sunnah as you shall see below.

Allah knows best.

